

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,877	01/12/2001	Stephen W. Noble JR,	P04808US0 PHI 1319	P04808US0 PHI 1319 2539	
27142	7590 10/17/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. ATTN: PIONEER HI-BRED 801 GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 3200			KRUSE, DAVID H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES	S, IA 50309-2721		1638		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/759,877	NOBLE, STEPHEN	W.
Autiony Aution	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David H Kruse	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 9/02/2003 &9/25/2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) In The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) _ they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-4,63-67,83 and 91</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 68-82 and 8	<u>34-90</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∐ approved or b)⊡ disappi	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	, ,		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: newly presented claims 68-82 and 84-90 are deemed to be directed to a new, distinct method and products non-elected by original presentation. Claims 68-82 and 84-90 would be classified in class 800, subclass 266, for example, Claims 1-4, 63 67, 83 and 81 would be classified in class 800, subclass 320.1, for example. The methods of claims 68, 70, 73, 76, 78, 80, 84 and 89 are not directed to methods of making or method of using the hybrid maize plant of claim 1, but are directed to method of using the parental inbred lines of the hybrid maize plant of claim 1. Hence, the hybrid maize plant of claim 1 is not required to practice said methods and are thus unrelated and require a separate search of the prior art. Consequently the instant amendments are deemed to raise new issues tha would require further consideration and/or search.

AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Any New